IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
	Plaintiff,) 8:15MJ229)
	vs.	DETENTION ORDER
JOSE ALBERTO HERNANDEZ-ORTIZ,		
	Defendant.	
A.	Order For Detention After conducting a detention hearing purs Act on August 31, 2015, the Court or pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i).	suant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail Reform ders the above-named defendant detained
B.	The Court orders the defendant's detent X By a preponderance of the even conditions will reasonably assure X By clear and convincing evidence	
C.	contained in the Pretrial Services Repor X (1) Nature and circumstances of X (a) The crime: a conspir distribute methamphet carries a minimum s maximum of life impris (b) The offense is a crime (c) The offense involves a	the offense charged: acy to distribute and possess with intent to tamine (Count I) in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846 entence of ten years imprisonment and a sonment. e of violence.
	may affect wh X The defendar The defendar ties. Past conduct The defendar The defendar The defendar The defendar The defendar The defendar Court proceed	nt appears to have a mental condition which nether the defendant will appear. In the no family ties in the area. In thas no steady employment. In that no substantial financial resources. In the sound ties not a long time resident of the community. In the defendant: In the defendant of the defendant of the defendant: In the defendant of the defendant of the defendant: In the defendant of the def

DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

Release pending trial, sentence, appeal sentence.	or completion of	
(c) Other Factors:		
X The defendant is an illegal alien ar	nd is subject to	
deportation.	·	
The defendant is a legal alien and wi	Il be subject to	
deportation if convicted.		
The Bureau of Immigration and Custon (BICE) has placed a detainer with the U.S Other:		
Y (4) T I		
X (4) The nature and seriousness of the danger posed by release are as follows: The nature of the charges in the least of the charges in the least of the charges in the least of the charges.		
X (5) Rebuttable Presumptions		
In determining that the defendant should be detained, the	Court also relied	
on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18		
which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:	5 ()	
X (a) That no condition or combination of conditions	will reasonably	
assure the appearance of the defendant as require		
of any other person and the community because the	e Court finds that	
the crime involves:		
(1) A crime of violence; or	16 1 116	
X (2) An offense for which the maximum	n penalty is life	
imprisonment or death; or	haa a maximum	
X (3) A controlled substance violation which penalty of 10 years or more; or	i nas a maximum	
(4) A felony after the defendant had beer	convicted of two	
or more prior offenses described in		
above, <u>and</u> the defendant has a prior c		
of the crimes mentioned in (1) through		
is less than five years old and which		
while the defendant was on pretrial re		
X (b) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonab		
assure the appearance of the defendant as require	ed and the safety	
of the community because the Court finds that the	nere is probable	
cause to believe:		
X (1) That the defendant has committed		
substance violation which has a max	imum penalty of	
10 years or more.	"	
(2) That the defendant has committed an		
U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firea		
relation to any crime of violence, incl		
violence, which provides for an enhan		
if committed by the use of a deadl weapon or device).	y or darigerous	
weapon or device).		

D. Additional Directives

- Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

 1. The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and
 - The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and

DETENTION ORDER - Page 3

3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: August 31, 2015. BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken

United States Magistrate Judge